

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,972 02/05/2004)4	Harry S. Edelman	169.12-0619	5211
164	7590 05	/17/2006	EXAMINER		
KINNEY & I	LANGE, P.A.	TUPPER, ROBERT S			
THE KINNEY	& LANGE BU	JILDING			
	HIRD STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 5541:	2627			

2627
DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/772,972		EDELMAN ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Robert S. Tuppe		2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appe	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply very received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period will vill, by statute, of	TE OF THIS CO 6(a). In no event, how Il apply and will expire cause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>05 Fel</i>	bruary 2004.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition f	-			secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction	n and/or el	lection requirem	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/5/04</u> is/are:			ected to by the F	- - - - -				
٠٠,८				_					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,							
<u> </u>									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗌	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P)			Paper No(s)/Mail Da		D-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent A 6) Other:						J-10Z)			

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (A) FIG. 1, (B) FIG. 1A, (C) FIG. 2, and (D) FIG. 5. The species are independent or distinct because the differing structural configurations are not equivalent.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. David Fairbairn on 5/10/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Art Unit: 2627

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

rst